Application Serial No. 10/706,749   PATENT
Reply to Office Action of November 23, 2005   Docket: CU-3447

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Claims 1-7 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being unpatentable over Park, i.e., U.S. patent number 6,445,673. For the reasons set forth below, the applicant contends that claim 1 as amended above places it and the claims that depend from claim 1 in condition for allowance.

For purposes of making this record clear, the applicant believes that the subject matter *claimed* in Park is distinctly different from the subject matter disclosed in the above-identified application.

As for the rejection of the pending claims, independent claim 1 has been amended to recite that the height adjustment cam includes first and second flange portions and that the distance between the first and second flange portions is constant.

The applicant contends that the first and second adjusting holders 54 and 56 of Park are engaged by a screw 70. The applicant further contends that the space between the first and second adjusters 54 and 56 changes by turning the screw 70.

Unlike the Park reference, claim 1 as amended requires the spacing or distance between the first and second flange portions 65a and 65b to be constant. Since the distance between the upper and lower flanges 65a and 65b are recited as being constant, claim 1 as amended is believed to avoid the Park reference.

Since claim 1 is now in condition for allowance, claims that depend from claim 1 are also believed to be in condition for allowance. Reconsideration of all of the claims is respectfully requested.

Application Serial No. 10/706,749   PATENT
Reply to Office Action of November 23, 2005   Docket: CU-3447

Since the Applicant has distinguished the claims from the art cited by the

Examiner, claims 1-5 are submitted to be in condition for allowance.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: <u>February 13, 2006</u>

Joseph P. Krause, Reg. No. 32,578
Ladas & Parry
224 South Michigan Avenue
Chicago, Illinois 60604
(312) 427-1300